



HHAP-2 Annual Report through 9.30.22

Thank you for filling out the form. Your report has been successfully submitted. A confirmation email has been sent to the addresses provided.

If you need to make a change or have a question about this submission, email HHAP@bcsh.ca.gov.

Introduction and Contract Selection

Contract Selection

Which HHAP-2 contract are you submitting this report for?

21-HHAP-00063

The selected contract is associated with **Fresno County** in the amount of **\$1,063,150.00**. Please confirm that the selection is accurate before proceeding in this form.

Fiscal

Total Obligations and Expenditures

Total HHAP Funds Obligated

\$278,098.00

Total HHAP Funds Expended

\$0.00

Budgets, Obligations, and Expenditures by Eligible Use Category

Rapid Rehousing

Budgeted

\$85,052.00

Obligated

\$0.00

Expended

\$0.00

Operating Subsidies

Budgeted

\$903,677.50

Obligated

\$203,677.50

Expended

\$0.00

Street Outreach

Budgeted	Obligated	Expended
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Services Coordination

Budgeted	Obligated	Expended
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Systems Support

Budgeted	Obligated	Expended
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Permanent Housing and Innovative Solutions

Budgeted	Obligated	Expended
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Prevention and Diversion

Budgeted	Obligated	Expended
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

New Navigation Centers and Emergency Shelters

Budgeted	Obligated	Expended
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Strategic Planning and Infrastructure Development

Budgeted	Obligated	Expended
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Administrative Costs

Budgeted	Obligated	Expended
\$74,420.50	\$74,420.50	\$0.00

Check Your HHAP-2 Totals

The sum of the budgets for each eligible use category should match the total award amount for the selected contract. The sum of the amounts obligated and expended for each eligible use category should match the Total HHAP Funds reported in Section 1.

The award amount for the selected contract is **\$1,063,150.00**. In Section 1, you reported a total of **\$278,098.00** HHAP Funds Obligated and **\$0.00** HHAP Funds Expended.

Sum of Eligible Use Budgets	Sum of Eligible Use Obligations	Sum of Eligible Use Expenditures
\$1,063,150.00	\$278,098.00	\$0.00

I confirm that the summed totals are correct and equal to the total amounts budgeted, obligated, and expended.

Yes

Youth Budgets, Obligations, and Expenditures by Eligible Use Category

Total Youth Budget	Total Youth Obligations	Total Youth Expenditures
\$85,052.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Youth Rapid Rehousing

Budgeted	Obligated	Expended
\$85,052.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Youth Operating Subsidies

Budgeted	Obligated	Expended
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Youth Street Outreach

Budgeted	Obligated	Expended
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Youth Services Coordination

Budgeted	Obligated	Expended
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Youth Systems Support

Budgeted	Obligated	Expended
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Youth Permanent Housing and Innovative Solutions

Budgeted	Obligated	Expended
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Youth Prevention and Diversion

Budgeted	Obligated	Expended
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

New Youth Navigation Centers and Emergency Shelters

Budgeted	Obligated	Expended
\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

Check Your Youth Set Aside Totals

The sum of the youth eligible use categories should match the total reported in Section 3.

In Section 3, you reported a Total Youth Set Aside budget of **\$85,052.00**. **\$0.00** of the total HHAP Funds Obligated and **\$0.00** of the total HHAP Funds Expended were for Youth.

Sum of Youth Eligible Use Budgets	Sum of Youth Eligible Use Obligations	Sum of Youth Eligible Use Expenditures
\$85,052.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

I confirm that the summed youth set aside totals are correct and equal to the total youth set aside amounts budgeted, obligated, and expended.

Yes

Fiscal Section Comments

To the best of your knowledge, do the reported amounts budgeted, obligated, and expended align with the approved budget on file with Cal ICH?

Yes

Please provide any comments about the information contained in the fiscal section of this report (optional)

Performance Metrics

Please answer the questions below to determine if Cal ICH needs any additional information to complete your jurisdiction's data profile.

Did the selected jurisdiction serve any people with HHAP-2 funds through September 30, 2022?

No

Performance Metrics (Cont.)

Based on the information provided, we do not need any additional information. Therefore, you SHALL NOT submit a Manual Reporting Form. Click on the next button to continue to the next report section.

ATTENTION!!!!

First, review the Performance Metrics section in the instructions.

If you believe that the guidance directly above erred and that you need to submit, not submit, or submit different data, please reach out to us immediately at hhap@bcsh.ca.gov.

Grantees are responsible for determining whether they need to submit a Manual Reporting Form as explained in the instructions.

Performance Metrics Section Comments

Please provide any comments about the information contained in the performance metrics section of this report (optional)

Project Narratives, Racial Equity, and Partnerships

Project Narratives

Based on your answers in this report, **you are NOT required to submit a Project Narratives Form** with your report. Please proceed to the next page of the report.

ATTENTION!!!!

First, review the Project Narratives section in the instructions.

If you believe that the guidance directly above erred and that you need to submit, not submit, or submit different data, please reach out to us immediately at hnap@bcsh.ca.gov.

Grantees are responsible for determining whether they need to submit a Project Narrative Form as explained in the instructions.

Racial Equity

Racial Disparity Assessment

1. When was the most recent formal or informal assessment of racial or ethnic disparities for the population experiencing homelessness or housing instability completed within your service area?

Within the last 6 months to 1 year

2. How has your jurisdiction formed its racial equity strategy based on a local assessment of racial disparity?

The County collects data through the Homeless Management Information System, input from community partners, and a Lived Experience Advisory Board comprised of Black, Native, and Indigenous, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and other People of Color experiencing homelessness and former homeless persons, to inform the development of goals that focus on addressing racial disparities in access to and positive housing outcomes from homeless assistance services as well as reducing homelessness for underserved and/or disproportionately impacted groups. The County is also forming review committees to evaluate the Coordinated Entry System for racial bias, as well as a review panel of volunteers from community-based organizations, service providers, and local government representing underserved and/or disproportionately impacted groups to review HMIS data to verify equitable access to services.

Findings

1. Describe the disparities you see among racial and ethnic groups of those experiencing homelessness in your community in comparison to the general housed population.

When comparing the general housed population to the homeless population in the community, Black or African American and American Indian or Alaska Native populations are disproportionately impacted by homelessness. Black or African American populations make up 4.4% of the total population while making up 23.5% of the homeless population and American Indian or Alaska Native populations make up 1.2% of the total population but make up 10% of the unsheltered homeless population.

2. In looking at the population of individuals experiencing homelessness within your community, describe the disparities you see among racial and ethnic groups of those more or less likely to receive homeless assistance.

Black or African American and American Indian or Alaska Native populations are underserved by homeless assistance. The County plans to develop a system of ongoing analysis regarding equitable access to services to reduce the disparities affecting these populations. Included in this plan is a Coordinated Entry Assessment Tool and a committee to develop a revised CES prioritization tool that equitably evaluates vulnerability among Black, Native, and Indigenous, Latinx, Asian, Pacific Islander, and other People of Color.

3. In looking at the population of individuals experiencing homelessness within your community, describe the disparities you see among racial and ethnic groups of those more or less likely to have a positive housing outcome.

Among groups that had positive housing outcomes, Black or African American, Asian, and American Indian or Alaska Native people experiencing homelessness had fewer positive exits when comparing population size. The County recognizes that the success rate increases when those experiencing homelessness identify with those providing services and has developed goals to reduce this disproportionality of exits through a system of ongoing analysis regarding equitable exits to permanent housing.

Racial Equity Technical Assistance Needs

1. Based on the information provided above, what type of racial equity technical assistance could your community benefit from?

The County could benefit from Technical Assistance on equitable access to shelter facilities for disproportionately impacted groups and Technical Assistance for provider agencies on reflecting the people served, equitable hiring practices and language access.

2. How highly would you prioritize a referral to technical assistance from Cal ICH?

Highest Priority

Partnerships

1. Please share a successful partnership as a result of HHAP-2 funding and describe what made that partnership successful.

The budget and service plans for HHAP funding have been increasingly integrated with joint planning for both funding and service coordination. One example is the collaboration between the City, County, and CoC whereby funding from the City has been used to pick up where the CoC and County funding has been depleted to enable the City to continue providing the 50-bed Navigation Center program with Mental Health Systems, Inc. in Fresno, CA for an additional 24 months.

2. Please share the most significant barrier(s) to HHAP-1 partnerships and any steps you took to mitigate the barrier(s).

As with much of the funding coming from various sources to the local level, funding awarded to multiple entities is subject to the administrative requirements and priorities of each entity. This can make it challenging to align services across the community. Communication is also a challenge due to the number of individuals involved at the planning and administrative level. HHAP funding requires entities to come together to identify the needs of the community and gaps in meeting those needs, for each entity to communicate a clear direction for addressing the gaps, and for each entity to do its part in advocating for a common solution for the entire continuum.

3. If you received redirected HHAP-2 funding from another eligible jurisdiction, what strategies did you use to facilitate partnerships between jurisdictions? If you did not redirect or receive redirected HHAP-2 funding, what barriers prevented you from partnering with other jurisdictions to redirect or receive redirected funds?

The County did not redirect or receive redirected funds.

Project Narratives, Racial Equity, and Partnerships Section Comments

Please provide any comments about the information contained in the project narratives, racial equity, and partnerships section of this report (optional)

Contacts and Certification

Fiscal Contact

Name

Dylan McCully

Position or Title

Staff Analyst

Phone

(559) 600-2341

Email

dmccully@fresnocountyca.gov

Is the Performance Metrics contact the same as above?

Yes, use the fiscal contact

Is the Project Narrative, Racial Equity, and Partnerships contact the same as above?

Yes, use the fiscal contact

Fresno County certifies that all information included in this report is true and accurate to the best of their knowledge.

Yes